

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | D INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| 08/788,560 | 01/24/97 | YAMAZAKI | | S 0 | 756-1626 | |
| — SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEED | | MM21/0616 | . 7 | EXAMINER NADAV, O | | |
| 010 CORPORAT | | W PENGOON | - | Marsa A 2 Ct | | |
| BUITE 600 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1CLEAN VA 221 | .02 | | • | 2811 | | |
| T-m-Tom kan t II 1 | · *es des | | | | 06/16/99 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4-1-

Application No. 08/788,560

Applicant(s)

Yamazaki et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner

ORI NADAV

Group Art Unit 2811



| THE | PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] |
|-----|--|
| | a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| | expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. |
| (| Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. |
| | Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). |
| ۸nr | olicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 2, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: |
| X | The proposed amendment(s): |
| : | will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. |
| | will not be entered because: |
| | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). |
| | X they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). |
| | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. |
| | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: Amended claims will be entered if written in the form of "consisting of one of" |
| | Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| | Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. |
| X | and the second description has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition |
| | for allowance because: <u>Examiner maintains the position that Wilson's invention applies to any MOS device, including the combination of two MOS devices such as a CMOS, regardless of the way in which the MOS device is connected to other devices.</u> |
| | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by |
| Ц | the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| X | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): |
| | Claims allowed: None |
| | Claims objected to: None |
| | Claims rejected: 78-157 |
| | The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner. |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). |
| | |